

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,365	12/12/2003	David Proulx JR.	225518	1813	
23460	7590 03/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S		
	STETSON AVENUE	. 4900	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	L 60601-6780		3752		

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence		10/735,365	PROULX, DAVID				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christopher S. Kim	3752				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addr	'ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply will, by significantly within the set or extended period for reply will, by significantly received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f tatute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this comi DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	2 December 2003.					
2a)□		This action is non-final.					
3)[,						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are ion Papers	drawn from consideration.					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•	` '			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force. All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum. 2. Certified copies of the priority docum. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Applic priority documents have been rece reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National St	tage			
Attachmen		_					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	4) 🔲 Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai					
3) 🛛 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date 5/7/04.		al Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 12 recite "type" in the preamble. The metes and bounds of the claim cannot be determined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-10, 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemetyinen et al. (5,664,731) in view of Dean et al. (4,404,507).

Lemetyinen discloses a shower head spray device comprising: an elongated spray deader 12; a drain opening 11; a valve 10. Lemetyinen discloses, in column 3, line 58, a rotation means to rotate shaft 13 but does not discloses a motor. Motors are well known in the art for imparting rotational motion, e.g. electric drill/driver. Dean

Art Unit: 3752

discloses a motor Mx and microprocessor based control circuit to rotate and translate screw 104. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a motor to as the rotation means in the device of Lemetyinen as taught by Dean for automation.

5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemetyinen et al. (5,664,731) in view of Hunt et al. (5,048,282).

Lemetyinen discloses a shower head spray device comprising: an elongated spray deader 12; a drain opening 11; a valve 10. Lemetyinen discloses, in column 3, line 58, a rotation means to rotate shaft 13 but does not discloses a motor. Motors are well known in the art for imparting rotational motion, e.g. electric drill/driver. Hunt discloses a motor 52, drive pinion 51, drive gear 53 and microprocessor based control circuit (figure 19). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a motor to as the rotation means in the device of Lemetyinen as taught by Hunt for automation.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3752

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752